

OPEN ACCESS Full open access to this and thousands of other papers at http://www.la-press.com.

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Assessment of Confounding Factors Affecting the Tumor Markers SMRP, CA125, and CYFRA21-1 in Serum

Daniel Gilbert Weber¹, Georg Johnen¹, Dirk Taeger¹, Anne Weber¹, Isabelle Mercedes Gross¹, Beate Pesch¹, Thomas Kraus², Thomas Brüning¹ and Monika Gube²

¹BGFA—Research Institute of Occupational Medicine, German Social Accident Insurance, Ruhr-University Bochum, Bochum, Germany. ²Institute for Occupational and Social Medicine, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany. Email: weber@bgfa.ruhr-uni-bochum.de

Abstract: The purpose of this analysis was to evaluate if serum levels of potential tumor markers for the diagnosis of malignant mesothelioma and lung cancer are affected by confounding factors in a surveillance cohort of workers formerly exposed to asbestos. SMRP, CA125, and CYFRA21-1 concentrations were determined in about 1,700 serum samples from 627 workers formerly exposed to asbestos. The impact of factors that could modify the concentrations of the tumor markers was examined with linear mixed models. SMRP values increased with age 1.02-fold (95% CI 1.01–1.03) and serum creatinine concentration 1.32-fold (95% CI 1.20–1.45). Levels differed by study centers and were higher after 40 years of asbestos exposure. CA125 levels increased with longer storage of the samples. CYFRA21-1 values correlated with age 1.02-fold (95% CI 1.01–1.02), serum creatinine 1.21-fold (95% CI 1.14–1.30) and varied by study centers due to differences in sample handling. Tumor marker concentrations are influenced by subject-related factors, sample handling, and storage. These factors need to be taken into account in screening routine.

Keywords: SMRP, mesothelin, CA125, CYFRA21-1, mesothelioma, lung cancer, screening

Biomarker Insights 2010:5 1-8

This article is available from http://www.la-press.com.

© the author(s), publisher and licensee Libertas Academica Ltd.

This is an open access article. Unrestricted non-commercial use is permitted provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Malignant mesothelioma (MM) is an aggressive cancer of the serous membranes highly associated with asbestos exposure. The annual number of new MM cases in Western Europe is expected to rise, with a maximum in the next two decades. MM may account for as many as 250,000 deaths in the next 35 years.¹ Similar trends have been reported in many countries worldwide.² The latency period of the tumor is up to 40 years and symptoms occur in late stages of the disease. Up to date, MM is an incurable disease, but a combination of pemetrexed and cisplatin showed a significantly improved response rate and overall survival.³ An earlier diagnosis of tumors generally leads to more effective therapies and the same might be true for MM. Tumor markers may facilitate an early diagnosis of cancer. Measurement of tumor markers in blood is a feasible minimally invasive method and may be suitable for application in screening routine.⁴

A promising tumor marker for MM is soluble mesothelin-related peptides (SMRP).⁵ SMRP has increasingly been used as a marker for MM⁶ and has been found to be elevated in asbestos-exposed patients up to five years before onset of clinical symptoms, suggesting a potential role as a marker for the early diagnosis of MM.⁷ Additionally, SMRP may be helpful in diagnosis of lung cancer (LC).⁸ While a single tumor marker often lacks sufficient sensitivity and specificity, combinations of markers may improve the diagnostic performance. CA125 and CYFRA21-1 are additional candidates for a marker panel based on results in pleural effusions.^{9,10}

To improve the use of tumor markers as screening tools for the early detection of cancer, their distribution in screening populations with regard to factors that might influence the marker levels has to be considered. Raised SMRP levels are observed in patients with renal failure and hypertension.⁵ CA125 values can increase in patients with cardiac dysfunction.¹¹ Tumor markers could also be influenced by age and gender, or factors like sample handling and storage conditions.¹² Recently, we have shown that SMRP exhibits excellent stability regarding frozen storage¹³ but for CA125 and CYFRA21-1 less details are known. To determine confounding factors with significant influences on marker levels, tumor markers need to be analyzed in pre-clinical samples obtained



prior to diagnosis.⁴ As asbestos is the main etiologic factor for MM, surveillance cohorts of asbestos workers represent an appropriate study population for the evaluation of potential confounders.

The objective of this study was to analyze the distribution of serum SMRP, CA125, and CYFRA21-1 in a cohort of workers formerly exposed to asbestos without MM or LC at the beginning of the surveillance and to identify and evaluate potential factors with significant influence on tumor marker levels.

Material and Methods Study population

The study group of 627 German asbestos workers originates from a study of Marczynski et al.¹⁴ A questionnaire was applied at baseline in 1993. Blood samples were taken annually (1993–1997) during medical examinations. After clotting, serum samples were separated and frozen immediately. In 2005, about 1,700 serum samples were available. A mortality follow-up was conducted until April 2007. One person with diagnosed LC in 1993 was excluded from analysis. Samples from 33 participants obtained after diagnosis of MM or LC were also excluded. All participants provided informed consent. The study was designed according to rules guarding patient privacy and an updated permission from the local ethics committee was obtained in 2005.

Measurement of SMRP, CA125, and CYFRA21-1

Of 626 participants, at least one serum sample was available for the evaluation of SMRP, CA125, and CYFRA21-1. Serum SMRP was analyzed using the commercial ELISA kit MESOMARK (provided by Fujirebio Diagnostics, Inc., Malvern, PA, USA)⁵ according to Weber et al.¹³ CA125 was analyzed using the ADVIA Centaur System (Bayer Health Care, Wuppertal, Germany) and CYFRA21-1 was analyzed using the Elecsys 2010 System (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Measurement of creatinine and CRP

Of 620 participants serum samples were available for the determination of creatinine and C-reactive protein (CRP) using the UniCel DxC 800 (Beckman Coulter, Krefeld, Germany) according to the manufacturer's



instructions. Serum creatinine is an indicator for renal diseases and CRP for hypertension and heart failure.

Statistical Methods

Linearmixed models were applied to the data to estimate the effects of subject-related and sample-related factors on the marker levels. These models account for the correlated data structure because samples were collected repeatedly from the same subjects over time. Factors selected for analysis comprised age at entry in the surveillance cohort (with 1993 as reference year), gender, smoking status (current, former, and never smoker), duration of asbestos exposure (in decades), alterations of pleura, alterations of lung, creatinine, CRP, study center, storage time (9, 10, 11, 12 years after collection), and later diagnosed MM or LC. Due to the skewed distribution of the marker concentrations these variables were log-transformed. Therefore, the effect estimates and their confidence intervals were re-transformed via the exponential function presenting the factor of change in the marker concentrations.

Results

At baseline, the median age of the study population (n = 626) was 63 years (range 53–70 years). The majority (91.9%) of the participants were male (n = 575). Only few participants were current smokers (n = 90, 14.4%), whereas the majority were former (n = 348, 55.6%) or never smokers (n = 188, 30.0%). Median duration of asbestos exposure was 19 years (range 1–52 years). HRCT screens revealed that the majority (n = 410, 65.5%) of participants had pleural (plaques and thickenings) and/or lung (fibrotic) alterations.

Table 1 depicts the distribution of marker concentrations by median and inter-quartile range (IQR) and is further stratified by potential factors selected for analysis. Median SMRP concentration in the study group was 0.72 nmol/l (IQR 0.50–1.03 nmol/l). Median CA125 level was 10.40 KIU/l (IQR 7.40–14.95 KIU/l), and median CYFRA21-1 value was 1.09 ng/ml (IQR 0.82–1.41 ng/ml).

The results of the linear mixed model for SMRP, CA125, and CYFRA21-1 are presented in Table 2. The factors gender, smoking status, CRP levels, alterations of pleura or lung, and development of MM had no

significant influence on any of the analyzed markers. SMRP concentrations increased 1.02-fold (95% CI 1.01-1.03, p = 0.0014) per age in years and 1.32-fold (95% CI 1.20-1.45, p < 0.0001) per ng/ml serum creatinine. In subjects >65 years median SMRP values (0.82 nmol/l) were significantly higher than in participants ≤ 65 years (0.67 nmol/l, p = 0.0002). Also, median SMRP values in participants with >40 years of asbestos exposure were elevated (0.98 nmol/l) compared to participants with ≤ 40 years of asbestos exposure (0.70 nmol/l, p = 0.0002). Further, two centers were present with elevated SMRP levels. SMRP and CYFRA21-1 are not affected by storage time, as the median values show (Table 1). Also, individual time courses did not show any trend (data not shown). However, storage time varied between nine and twelve years and showed a strong impact on the CA125 levels. Compared to the levels after nine years (median 8.90 KIU/l), the CA125 concentration was 1.23-fold (95% CI 1.18–1.29, p < 0.0001) higher after twelve years of storage. CYFRA21-1 correlated with LC (1.42-fold, 95% CI 1.12–1.79, p = 0.0033). Higher CYFRA21-1 values were observed for age (1.02-fold per year, 95% CI 1.01–1.02, p = 0.0005) and rising creatinine values (1.21-fold per ng/ml, 95% CI 1.14–1.30, p < 0.0001). CYFRA21-1 concentrations varied also in study centers.

Discussion

A major aim in cancer surveillance and screening programs is the diagnosis of the disease at early stages. The use of tumor markers for the early detection of cancer requires knowledge of factors that might influence the marker levels because the behavior of markers in healthy, unaffected subjects largely defines screening thresholds and hence specificity.⁴

We analyzed the influence of potential confounders on serum concentrations of SMRP, CA125, and CYFRA21-1 in a healthy surveillance cohort. The assessment of specificity and sensitivity to detect MM and LC was not focus of this analysis. A detailed discussion about the correlation of SMRP, CA125, and CYFRA21-1 with MM and LC will be presented elsewhere.

The median SMRP concentration of 0.72 nmol/l found among 626 subjects is in accordance with SMRP levels in recent studies with published values of

Ideal Ideal <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>z</th><th>SMRP (nmol/I)</th><th>(I/Iou</th><th>CA125 (KIU/I)</th><th>(I/N</th><th>CYFRA21-1 (ng/ml)</th><th>-1 (ng/ml)</th></t<>			z	SMRP (nmol/I)	(I/Iou	CA125 (KIU/I)	(I/N	CYFRA21-1 (ng/ml)	-1 (ng/ml)
626 0.72 0.50-1.03 10.40 $\leq 65 \text{ years}$ 459 0.67 0.48-0.96 10.50 >65 years 167 0.82 0.57-1.17 10.20 Male 575 0.73 0.51-1.04 10.60 Never 188 0.61 0.48-0.96 10.60 Never 188 0.61 0.43-0.94 9.40 Never 188 0.61 0.43-0.94 9.40 Never 188 0.66 0.48-0.98 9.85 Former 348 0.72 0.51-1.01 10.30 Stop 0.72 0.51-1.02 10.00 9.40 Stop 0.72 0.51-1.02 10.30 9.45 Stop 0.72 0.55-1.10 10.30 9.40 Stop 212 ng/ml 25 0.88 0.55-1.01 10.30 Stop 213 0.72 0.50-1.03 10.40 10.40 Stop 213 0.72 0.55-1.04 10.7				Median	IQR	Median	IQR	Median	IQR
≤65 years 459 0.67 0.48–0.96 10.50 >65 years 167 0.82 0.57–1.17 10.20 Male 575 0.73 0.51–1.04 10.60 Female 51 0.61 0.48–0.96 9.40 Never 188 0.65 0.73 0.51–1.04 10.20 Never 188 0.66 0.48–0.98 9.40 9.40 Never 188 0.65 0.73 0.51–1.02 10.20 Never 348 0.74 0.51–1.02 10.50 10.30 Current 30 0.72 0.55–1.10 10.30 13.00 ≤1.5 ng/ml 505 0.72 0.55–1.10 10.30 >1.1.2 ng/ml 25 0.88 0.55–1.10 10.30 >1.1.2 ng/ml 20 0.72 0.55–1.03 10.30 11–20 years 131 0.72 0.51–1.04 10.40 11–20 years 131 0.74 0.51–1.04 10.40 <	Total		626	0.72	0.50-1.03	10.40	7.40–14.95	1.09	0.82-1.41
>65 years 167 0.82 0.57-1.17 10.20 Male 575 0.73 0.51-1.04 10.60 Female 51 0.61 0.43-0.94 9.40 Never 188 0.65 0.48-0.98 9.85 Never 188 0.74 0.51-1.02 10.80 Never 188 0.74 0.51-1.02 10.80 Never 188 0.74 0.51-1.02 10.80 Never 348 0.74 0.51-1.02 10.30 Scillonin 595 0.77 0.51-1.02 10.30 Scillonin 20 0.72 0.55-1.10 10.30 Scillonin 20 0.72 0.56-1.01 10.30 Scillonin 20 0.88 0.56-1.01 10.30 Scillonin 20 0.88 0.55-1.10 10.30 Scillonin 20 0.81 0.55-1.01 10.30 Scillonin 20 0.81 0.55-1.01 10.30 <	Age	≤65 years	459	0.67	0.48-0.96	10.50	7.40–15.00	1.06	0.81–1.36
Male 575 0.73 $0.51-1.04$ 10.60 Female 51 0.61 $0.43-0.94$ 9.40 Never 188 0.66 $0.48-0.98$ 9.35 Never 348 0.74 $0.51-1.02$ 10.88 Current 90 0.74 $0.51-1.02$ 10.88 Current 90 0.72 $0.55-1.10$ 10.00 ≤ 12 ng/ml 595 0.72 $0.55-1.04$ 10.30 ≥ 1.5 ng/ml 595 0.72 $0.55-1.04$ 10.30 ≥ 1.5 ng/ml 500 0.72 $0.56-1.03$ 10.30 ≥ 1.5 ng/ml 200 0.72 $0.56-1.03$ 10.30 ≥ 1.5 ng/ml 200 0.72 $0.56-1.03$ 10.70 $< 11-20$ years 137 0.72 $0.51-1.04$ 10.75 $21-30$ years 137 0.74 $0.74-0.95$ 10.70 $21-20$ years 131 0.74 $0.55-1.04$ 10.70		>65 years	167	0.82	0.57–1.17	10.20	7.70–14.90	1.22	0.89–1.53
Female51 0.61 $0.43-0.94$ 9.40 Never188 0.66 $0.48-0.98$ 9.85 Never188 0.74 $0.55-1.102$ 10.08 Current90 0.72 $0.55-1.102$ 10.00 ≤ 1.2 ng/ml 595 0.72 $0.56-1.01$ 10.00 ≤ 1.5 ng/ml 595 0.72 $0.56-1.01$ 10.30 ≤ 1.5 ng/ml 505 0.72 $0.56-1.03$ 10.30 ≤ 1.5 ng/ml 26 0.88 $0.55-1.04$ 11.73 ≤ 1.5 ng/ml 20 0.72 $0.56-1.03$ 10.30 ≤ 1.5 ng/ml 20 0.72 $0.56-1.03$ 10.30 ≥ 1.5 ng/ml 20 0.72 $0.56-1.03$ 10.10 ≥ 1.2 ng/ml 20 0.72 $0.56-1.03$ 10.75 ≥ 1.40 years 137 0.74 0.74 0.77 $21-30$ years 138 0.74 0.74 10.75 $21-30$ years 139 0.74 0.74 10.70 $21-30$ years 139 0.74 0.74 10.70 $21-30$ years 139 0.74 0.74 10.70 $21-40$ years 139 0.74 0.74 10.76 $1-100$ years 112 0.74 0.74 10.30 100 years 199 0.74 0.74 10.40 $11-10$ year 112 0.74 0.74 10.40 $11-11$ year 0.74 0.74 $0.55-1.04$ 10.40 $11-11$ y	Gender	Male	575	0.73	0.51-1.04	10.60	7.40–15.05	1.10	0.82–1.42
Never18 0.66 $0.48-0.38$ 9.85 Former 348 0.74 $0.51-1.02$ 10.88 Current 90 0.79 $0.55-1.10$ 10.00 ≤ 12 ng/ml 595 0.72 $0.56-1.01$ 10.00 ≤ 1.5 ng/ml 255 0.88 $0.58-1.93$ 13.00 ≤ 1.5 ng/ml 25 0.88 $0.56-1.03$ 10.30 ≥ 1.5 ng/ml 25 0.88 $0.56-1.03$ 10.30 ≥ 1.5 ng/ml 20 0.81 $0.56-1.03$ 10.30 $< 10 years$		Female	51	0.61	0.43-0.94	9.40	7.50–12.40	1.00	0.87–1.30
Former348 0.74 $0.51-1.02$ 10.88 Current90 0.79 $0.55-1.10$ 10.00 $\leq 1.2 ng/ml$ 595 0.72 $0.50-1.01$ 10.30 $\leq 1.2 ng/ml$ 55 0.88 $0.55-1.03$ 13.00 $\geq 1.5 ng/ml$ 50 0.88 $0.55-1.03$ 10.30 $\geq 1.5 ng/ml$ 600 0.72 $0.50-1.03$ 13.30 $\geq 1.5 ng/ml$ 600 0.72 $0.56-1.03$ 10.30 $\geq 1.5 ng/ml$ 20 0.81 $0.55-1.04$ 11.73 $\geq 1.5 ng/ml$ 20 0.81 $0.56-1.03$ 10.30 $\geq 1.5 ng/ml$ 20 0.81 $0.56-1.03$ 10.30 $\geq 1.5 ng/ml$ 20 0.81 $0.56-1.04$ 11.73 $< <10 years$ 137 0.72 $0.51-1.00$ 10.75 $11-20 years$ 138 0.74 0.74 $0.57-1.11$ 11.10 $11-20 years$ 138 0.74 0.74 $0.57-1.14$ 8.90 Yes 199 0.74 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 Yes 199 0.74 $0.76-1.47$ 8.90 Yes 199 0.74 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 Yes 199 0.74 $0.76-1.47$ 8.90 Yes 199 0.74 $0.76-0.99$ 10.40 Yes 199 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 Yes 199 0.74 $0.56-1.14$ 10.50 Yes 199 0.74 0.65 <td>Smoking</td> <td>Never</td> <td>188</td> <td>0.66</td> <td>0.48–0.98</td> <td>9.85</td> <td>7.65–14.25</td> <td>1.12</td> <td>0.87–1.41</td>	Smoking	Never	188	0.66	0.48–0.98	9.85	7.65–14.25	1.12	0.87–1.41
Current900.790.55-1.1010.00 $\leq 1.2 \ ng/ml$ 5950.720.50-1.0110.30 $\geq 1.2 \ ng/ml$ 250.880.58-1.9313.00 $\geq 1.5 \ ng/ml$ 6000.720.50-1.0310.30 $\geq 1.5 \ ng/ml$ 6000.720.51-1.0010.30 $\geq 1.5 \ ng/ml$ 200.810.640.45-0.9510.10 $\geq 1.5 \ ng/ml$ 200.810.640.45-0.9510.10 $\geq 1.5 \ ng/ml$ 200.810.640.55-1.0411.73 $\geq 1.5 \ ng/ml$ 200.810.640.45-0.9510.10 $\geq 1.5 \ ng/ml$ 200.810.720.51-1.0010.75 $\geq 11-30 \ vears$ 1380.770.710.49-0.959.95 $31-40 \ vears$ 1380.760.7111.1011.10 $\geq 21-30 \ vears$ 1380.760.7410.4010.40 $\geq 21-30 \ vears$ 1380.760.710.49-1.0310.40 $\geq 21-30 \ vears$ 1990.740.710.49-1.0310.40 $\geq 21-30 \ vears$ 1990.740.710.49-1.0310.50 $\geq 1111110.49-1.0310.5010.40\geq 21-30 \ vears1990.740.7910.50\geq 11110.740.740.51-1.0410.30\geq 21-30 \ vears1990.740.7910.65\geq 21-30 \ vears1990.740.52-1.0410.50\geq 21-31 \ $		Former	348	0.74	0.51-1.02	10.88	7.78–15.18	1.07	0.81–1.43
$\leq 1.2 \ ng/ml$ 595 0.72 $0.58-1.01$ 10.30 $> 1.2 \ ng/ml$ 25 0.88 $0.58-1.93$ 13.00 $\leq 1.5 \ ng/ml$ 600 0.72 $0.58-1.03$ 10.30 $\geq 1.5 \ ng/ml$ 600 0.72 $0.58-1.03$ 10.30 $\geq 1.5 \ ng/ml$ 20 0.81 $0.58-1.03$ 10.30 $\geq 1.5 \ ng/ml$ 20 0.81 $0.55-1.04$ 11.73 $\geq 1.5 \ ng/ml$ 20 0.81 $0.55-1.04$ 11.73 $< 10 \ years$ 137 0.72 $0.51-1.00$ 10.76 $11-20 \ years$ 137 0.72 $0.51-1.00$ 10.75 $11-20 \ years$ 138 0.76 $0.51-1.47$ 8.90 $11-20 \ years$ 139 0.74 $0.57-1.47$ 8.90 $21-30 \ years$ 199 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 $10-40 \ years$ 199 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 $11-20 \ years$ 199 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.30 $11-20 \ years$ 199 0.74 $0.51-1.14$ 11.66 11 11 0.74 $0.74-1.09$ 10.30 12 0.74 0.74 $0.53-1.04$ 10.30 12 0.74 0.74 $0.51-1.14$ 10.60 11 11 0.74 0.74 $0.52-0.96$ 11 112 0.74 $0.52-0.96$ 9.60 12 102 0.74 $0.51-1.10$ 10.60 112 0.74 0.74 0		Current	06	0.79	0.55-1.10	10.00	6.65–14.55	1.08	0.78–1.34
>1.2 ng/ml25 0.88 $0.58-1.93$ 13.00 $\leq 1.5 ng/ml$ 600 0.72 $0.50-1.03$ 10.30 $\geq 1.5 ng/ml$ 20 0.81 $0.55-1.04$ 11.73 $\geq 1.5 ng/ml$ 20 0.81 $0.55-1.04$ 11.73 $\geq 1.5 ng/ml$ 20 0.81 $0.55-1.04$ 11.73 $< 10 years$ 31 0.64 $0.45-0.95$ 10.10 $11-20 years$ 137 0.72 $0.51-1.00$ 10.75 $21-30 years$ 121 0.71 $0.48-0.95$ 9.95 $31-40 years$ 138 0.76 $0.57-1.47$ 8.90 $31-40 years$ 199 0.76 $0.57-1.47$ 8.90 No 229 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 Ves 199 0.76 $0.77-1.47$ 8.90 No 2229 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 No 2229 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 No 2229 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.30 No 427 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.30 No 427 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.60 No 427 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 No 427 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.60 No 112 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.60 No 112 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.60 No 112 0.74 $0.52-0.95$ 9.60 1112 0.74 $0.52-0$	Creatinine	≤1.2 ng/ml	595	0.72	0.50-1.01	10.30	7.40–14.80	1.08	0.82–1.40
$\leq 1.5 \ ng/ml$ 600 0.72 $0.50-1.03$ 10.30 >1.5 ng/ml 20 0.81 $0.53-1.04$ 11.73 >10.years 31 0.64 $0.45-0.95$ 10.10 <10 years		>1.2 ng/ml	25	0.88	0.58–1.93	13.00	7.30–15.40	1.38	0.88–3.37
>1.5 ng/ml20 0.81 $0.53-1.04$ 11.73 <10 years	CRP	≤1.5 ng/ml	600	0.72	0.50-1.03	10.30	7.40–14.83	1.08	0.82–1.41
< 10 years310.640.45-0.9510.10 $11-20 years$ 137 0.72 $0.51-1.00$ 10.75 $21-30 years$ 121 0.72 $0.51-1.00$ 10.75 $21-30 years$ 121 0.71 $0.48-0.95$ 9.95 $31-40 years$ 138 0.76 $0.57-1.11$ 11.10 $>40 years$ 138 0.76 $0.57-1.17$ 8.90 $>40 years$ 199 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 No 229 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 No 229 0.77 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 No 229 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 No 229 0.74 $0.55-1.04$ 10.60 No 427 0.71 $0.49-1.03$ 10.50 No 427 0.74 $0.56-1.14$ 10.50 1 14 0.78 $0.66-1.14$ 11.65 3 148 0.65 $0.44-1.00$ 9.58 4 100 0.74 $0.55-0.95$ 9.60 5 143 0.79 $0.61-1.10$ 10.80 6 109 0.78 0.79 $0.61-1.10$		>1.5 ng/ml	20	0.81	0.53-1.04	11.73	8.85–20.75	1.22	0.91–2.13
11-20 years 137 0.72 $0.51-1.00$ 10.75 $21-30$ years 121 0.71 $0.48-0.95$ 9.95 $21-30$ years 138 0.76 $0.57-1.11$ 11.10 $31-40$ years 138 0.76 $0.57-1.47$ 8.90 $31-40$ years 199 0.98 $0.75-1.47$ 8.90 >40 years 199 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 No 229 0.70 $0.50-0.99$ 10.40 No 427 0.71 $0.49-1.03$ 10.50 No 427 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.50 1 14 0.78 $0.67-1.09$ 13.05 2 112 0.81 $0.56-1.14$ 11.65 3 148 0.65 $0.44-1.00$ 9.58 4 100 0.79 $0.51-1.10$ 10.80 5 143 0.79 $0.51-1.10$ 10.90 6 109 0.79 0.79 $0.61-1.10$	Asbestos exposure	<10 years	31	0.64	0.45-0.95	10.10	7.10–13.40	1.03	0.77–1.31
		11-20 years	137	0.72	0.51-1.00	10.75	8.20–15.90	1.06	0.85–1.43
31-40 years138 0.76 $0.57-1.11$ 11.10 >40 years199 0.98 $0.75-1.47$ 8.90 >40 years397 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 No229 0.70 $0.50-0.99$ 10.40 Yes199 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.40 No229 0.71 $0.50-0.99$ 10.40 Yes199 0.74 $0.51-1.04$ 10.30 Yes199 0.71 $0.49-1.03$ 10.50 No 427 0.71 $0.49-1.03$ 10.50 114 0.78 $0.67-1.09$ 13.05 2 112 0.81 $0.56-1.14$ 11.65 3 148 0.65 $0.44-1.00$ 9.58 4 100 0.74 $0.52-0.95$ 9.60 5 143 0.68 $0.46-0.94$ 10.90		21–30 years	121	0.71	0.48-0.95	9.95	6.90–15.40	1.17	0.84–1.45
>40 years 199 0.98 0.75–1.47 8.90 I Yes 397 0.74 0.51–1.04 10.40 No 229 0.70 0.50–0.99 10.40 Yes 199 0.71 0.50–0.99 10.40 Yes 199 0.71 0.49–1.03 10.50 No 427 0.71 0.49–1.03 10.50 1 14 0.78 0.67–1.09 13.05 2 112 0.81 0.56–1.14 11.65 3 148 0.76 0.67–1.09 13.05 4 100 0.74 0.56–1.14 11.65 5 148 0.65 0.44–1.00 9.58 6 100 0.74 0.51–1.10 10.80		31–40 years	138	0.76	0.57–1.11	11.10	8.00–16.40	1.13	0.86–1.48
I Yes 397 0.74 0.51-1.04 10.40 No 229 0.70 0.50-0.99 10.40 Yes 199 0.74 0.55-1.04 10.40 Yes 199 0.74 0.55-1.04 10.40 Yes 199 0.71 0.49-1.03 10.50 No 427 0.71 0.49-1.03 10.50 1 14 0.78 0.67-1.09 13.05 2 112 0.81 0.66-1.14 11.65 3 148 0.65 0.44-1.00 9.58 4 100 0.74 0.52-0.95 9.60 5 143 0.79 0.51-1.10 10.80 6 109 0.68 0.46-0.94 10.90		>40 years	199	0.98	0.75–1.47	8.90	7.00–14.20	1.29	0.93–1.59
No 229 0.70 0.50–0.99 10.40 Yes 199 0.74 0.53–1.04 10.30 No 427 0.71 0.49–1.03 10.50 1 14 0.78 0.67–1.09 13.05 2 112 0.81 0.67–1.09 13.05 3 148 0.78 0.67–1.09 13.05 4 110 0.81 0.56–1.14 11.65 3 148 0.65 0.44–1.00 9.58 4 100 0.74 0.52–0.95 9.60 5 143 0.79 0.51–1.10 10.80 6 109 0.68 0.46–0.94 10.90	Pleural alterations ¹	Yes	397	0.74	0.51-1.04	10.40	7.50–15.00	1.11	0.86–1.46
Yes1990.740.53-1.0410.30No4270.710.49-1.0310.501140.780.67-1.0913.0521120.810.56-1.1411.6531480.650.44-1.009.5841000.740.52-0.959.6051430.790.51-1.1010.8061090.680.746-0.9410.90		No	229	0.70	0.50-0.99	10.40	7.30–14.50	1.06	0.78–1.37
No 427 0.71 0.49-1.03 10.50 1 14 0.78 0.67-1.09 13.05 2 112 0.81 0.56-1.14 11.65 3 148 0.65 0.44-1.00 9.58 4 100 0.74 0.52-0.95 9.60 5 143 0.79 0.51-1.10 10.80 6 109 0.68 0.61-1.10 10.80	Lung alterations ¹	Yes	199	0.74	0.53-1.04	10.30	7.30–14.85	1.10	0.86–1.40
1 14 0.78 0.67-1.09 13.05 2 112 0.81 0.56-1.14 11.65 3 148 0.65 0.44-1.00 9.58 4 100 0.74 0.52-0.95 9.60 5 143 0.79 0.51-1.10 10.80 6 109 0.68 0.46-0.94 10.90		No	427	0.71	0.49–1.03	10.50	7.50–14.95	1.08	0.79–1.42
112 0.81 0.56–1.14 11.65 148 0.65 0.44–1.00 9.58 100 0.74 0.52–0.95 9.60 143 0.79 0.51–1.10 10.80 109 0.68 0.46–0.94 10.90	Study center	-	14	0.78	0.67–1.09	13.05	8.80–18.00	1.36	1.22–2.00
148 0.65 0.44–1.00 9.58 100 0.74 0.52–0.95 9.60 143 0.79 0.51–1.10 10.80 109 0.68 0.46–0.94 10.90		7	112	0.81	0.56–1.14	11.65	7.75–14.98	1.04	0.79–1.38
100 0.74 0.52–0.95 9.60 143 0.79 0.51–1.10 10.80 109 0.68 0.46–0.94 10.90		З	148	0.65	0.44-1.00	9.58	6.70–14.10	0.95	0.75–1.27
143 0.79 0.51–1.10 10.80 109 0.68 0.46–0.94 10.90		4	100	0.74	0.52-0.95	9.60	6.85–15.28	1.22	0.99–1.59
109 0.68 0.46–0.94 10.90		5	143	0.79	0.51-1.10	10.80	7.80–15.40	1.08	0.82–1.43
		9	109	0.68	0.46-0.94	10.90	7.80–14.90	1.12	0.81–1.46



Biomarker Insights 2010:5



P

	1	1	
6		4	
	_	/	1
1		_	
	-		

Time period	9 years ²	531, 530, 529	0.70	0.50-0.89	8.90	6.35-13.05	1.22	0.95-1.59
	10 years ²	482, 479, 479	0.70	0.50-1.01	9.50	6.80-14.10	1.17	0.88-1.53
	11 years ²	440, 438, 437	0.71	0.46-1.02	10.30	7.40–14.20	1.04	0.76-1.37
	12 years	80	0.71	0.48–1.02	11.30	7.70–16.60	1.06	0.78–1.38
Lung cancer	Yes	12	06.0	0.62–1.43	11.80	6.53-24.60	1.57	1.11–2.87
	No	614	0.72	0.50-1.03	10.40	7.50–14.90	1.08	0.82–1.41
Malignant	Yes	20	0.84	0.58-0.99	10.80	7.00-15.58	1.12	0.68–1.40
mesothelioma	No	606	0.72	0.50-1.04	10.40	7.40–14.90	1.09	0.82–1.41
1 Benign alterations of the pleura (plaques and thickenings) and lun 2 Available number of samples was different for SMRP, CA125, and	pleura (plaques and th ples was different for S	Benign alterations of the pleura (plaques and thickenings) and lung (fibrotic) were detected by HRCT. Available number of samples was different for SMRP, CA125, and CYFRA21-1.	c) were detectec 21-1.	I by HRCT.				

1 C		

0.67 nmol/l (n = 86), 0.61 nmol/l (n = 112), and 0.77 nmol/l (n = 26).¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Also, median CA125 (10.4 KIU/l) and CYFRA21-1 (1.09 ng/ml) are in line with levels reported in the literature, e.g. 9.2 KIU/l (n = 86) and 1.00 ng/ml (n = 27), respectively.^{15,18} Median marker levels calculated in this study were based on an adequate number of subjects and might be suitable reference values for use in screening programs.

Our analysis shows that the tumor markers are significantly influenced by various factors. A significantly higher level of SMRP was observed in subjects with an asbestos exposure of >40 years. While Pass et al observed no correlation between exposure duration and SMRP levels,¹⁹ Rodríguez Portal et al suggested recently that increased release of SMRP in serum occurs as a consequence of asbestos exposure.²⁰ Here we show that SMRP might indeed be associated with asbestos exposure at least for long-term exposure. As this could be also reflecting an age-related effect, we analyzed the SMRP values in association with both age and duration of asbestos exposure. Higher SMRP values for participants with >40 years of asbestos exposure could be confirmed independently of age (data not shown). However, only 5% (n = 32) of the participants had an asbestos exposure of >40 years. Further analyses need to be done to validate the feasibility of SMRP as a marker of asbestos exposure.

Age was shown to be a statistically significant predictor of SMRP and CYFRA21-1 values. This is in contrast to our previous observation¹³ and the results of Pass et al.¹⁹ A possible explanation could be the age distribution in the study groups because this surveillance cohort comprised subjects of a median age of 63 years, whereas the previous groups were on average 20 years younger. Our results support a correlation between age and SMRP values, but only at older age. This should be taken into account in surveillance because MM is mainly diagnosed at 61-65 years.²¹

Creatinine is an indicator for renal diseases, which are potential confounders of SMRP.5,22 A decreased glomerular filtration rate (GFR) correlated with increased SMRP and CYFRA21-1 levels in patients without evidence of neoplasia.^{22,23} Here we show that raised SMRP and CYFRA21-1 levels are indeed correlated with increased creatinine levels supporting the observation that renal failure could lead to



Table 2. Analysis of potential factors influencing SMRP, CA125, and CYFRA21-1 levels in serum. Values of $exp(\beta) > 1.00$ indicate a positive association between analyzed factor and marker, values <1.00 a negative association. Significant changes are marked in bold.

		SMRP	(nmol/l)		CA125	(KIU/I)		CYFRA	21-1 (ng/m	I)
		exp(β)	95% CI	P-value	exp(β)	95% CI	P-value	exp(β)	95% CI	P-value
Intercept		0.13	0.06-0.29	< 0.0001	6.39	2.73-14.96	< 0.0001	0.37	0.21–0.65	0.0005
Age (years)		1.02	1.01–1.03	0.0014	1.00	0.99–1.02	0.5824	1.02	1.01–1.02	0.0005
Gender	Male	1.03	0.86–1.22	0.7565	1.05	0.87–1.27	0.6341	0.94	0.83–1.06	0.3061
	Female	1.00	-	_	1.00	-	_	1.00	-	-
Smoking	Never	1.00	_	_	1.00	-	_	1.00	-	_
	Former	0.97	0.88–1.08	0.6206	1.05	0.94–1.18	0.3834	0.95	0.88–1.03	0.1985
	Current	1.12	0.97–1.29	0.1338	0.94	0.80–1.10	0.4424	0.92	0.83–1.02	0.1185
Creatinine (ng/ml)		1.32	1.20–1.45	<0.0001	0.97	0.88–1.08	0.6159	1.21	1.14–1.30	<0.0001
CRP (ng/ml)		0.99	0.94–1.06	0.8624	1.03	0.97–1.10	0.3000	1.02	0.97–1.06	0.4496
Asbestos exposure	<10 years	1,00	-	_	1.00	_	_	1.00	-	-
	11–20 years	1.04	0.92–1.18	0.4850	1.15	1.01–1.32	0.0397	1.05	0.97–1.15	0.2408
	21–30 years	0.92	0.80–1.05	0.2238	1.05	0.90–1.22	0.5188	1.03	0.93–1.13	0.5699
	31–40 years	1.05	0.91–1.21	0.5144	1.08	0.92–1.26	0.3402	1.01	0.92–1.12	0.7990
	>40 years	1.29	1.03–1.61	0.0265	0.92	0.72–1.18	0.5013	1.01	0.86–1.19	0.8657
Pleural alterations ¹		0.98	0.89–1.08	0.6470	1.09	0.98–1.22	0.1161	1.07	0.99–1.14	0.0794
Lung alterations ¹		1.04	0.94–1.15	0.4566	1.00	0.89–1.12	0.9841	0.99	0.92–1.06	0.6933
Study center	1	1.13	0.82–1.56	0.4518	1.17	0.82–1.67	0.3967	1.27	1.00–1.62	0.0460
	2	1.16	1.00–1.35	0.0482	1.00	0.85–1.17	0.9695	0.96	0.86–1.07	0.4845
	3	1.03	0.90–1.18	0.7008	0.92	0.80–1.07	0.2994	0.87	0.78–0.96	0.0042
	4	1.06	0.91–1.23	0.4456	0.99	0.84–1.17	0.9047	1.13	1.01–1.25	0.0295
	5	1.20	1.05–1.38	0.0095	1.02	0.88–1.19	0.7841	1.01	0.91–1.11	0.8807
	6	1.00	-	_	1.00	-	_	1.00	-	_
Storage time	9 years	1.00	_	_	1.00	_	_	1.00	_	-
	10 years	1.01	0.95–1.07	0.7684	1.07	1.03–1.12	0.0003	1.04	0.97–1.11	0.2669
	11 years	1.00	0.95–1.07	0.8972	1.17	1.11–1.22	<0.0001	0.94	0.88–1.01	0.0983
	12 years	1.01	0.95–1.08	0.6634	1.23	1.18–1.29	<0.0001	0.95	0.89–1.02	0.1738
Lung cancer		1.24	0.90–1.71	0.1871	1.06	0.75–1.51	0.7268	1.42	1.12–1.79	0.0033
Malignant mesothelioma		1.06	0.83–1.34	0.6599	0.95	0.73–1.24	0.6959	0.94	0.79–1.12	0.4766

¹Benign alterations of the pleura (plaques and thickenings) and lung (fibrotic) were detected by HRCT.





higher marker levels. Therefore, measurement of creatinine and estimation of GFR should be applied in surveillance to reduce the number of false-positive cases.

In some study centers SMRP and CYFRA21-1 showed altered values whereas CA125 was not affected. This might be caused by different sample handling procedures between blood drawing and freezing of serum. As results can be misleading if conditions of the procedure are not standardized,²⁴ the complete procedure, including clotting time and centrifugation, should be performed according to stringent protocols.

Recently, Roe et al raised the question if serum samples analyzed retrospectively are practical for the analysis of the selected markers.²⁵ Our results demonstrate that frozen serum samples are indeed suitable for the retrospective analysis of SMRP and CYFRA-21, but not for CA125. For CA125, higher levels were observed after a longer duration of storage (Table 2). This is in agreement with former observations that attribute the changes to storage rather than physiological effects.²⁶ Previously, we have indicated that SMRP is stable during long-term storage¹³ and this study confirms our observation. To our knowledge, for CYFRA21-1 this is the first report of stability during long-term storage.

In the first prospective study analyzing SMRP a relatively high false-positive rate was observed by Park et al.⁶ The results indicated that SMRP appears not to be suited as a screening marker for early detection of MM. It would be interesting if the higher number of false-positive cases may be due to factors identified in our study.

This analysis identified factors influencing SMRP, CA125, and CYFRA21-1 concentrations in serum. Age, serum creatinine, duration of asbestos exposure, sample handling procedures and storage time were significant confounders of serum marker levels. Taken these results into account might reduce the number of false-positive cases in screening programs and hence raise specificity.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported in part by a grant from FDI.

Disclosures

The authors report no conflicts of interests.

References

- Peto J, Decarli A, La Vecchia C, Levi F, Negri E. The European mesothelioma epidemic. *Br J Cancer*. 1999;79(3–4):666–672.
- Scherpereel A, Lee YG. Biomarkers for mesothelioma. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2007;13(4):339–443.
- Vogelzang NJ, Rusthoven JJ, Symanowski J, et al. Phase III study of pemetrexed in combination with cisplatin versus cisplatin alone in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21(14):2636–44.
- Lowe KA, Shah C, Wallace E, et al. Effects of personal characteristics on serum CA125, mesothelin, and HE4 levels in healthy postmenopausal women at high-risk for ovarian cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2008;17(9):2480–7.
- Beyer HL, Geschwindt RD, Glover CL, et al. MESOMARK: a potential test for malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Clin Chem.* 2007;53(4):666–72.
- Park EK, Sandrini A, Yates DH, et al. Soluble mesothelin-related protein in an asbestos-exposed population: the dust diseases board cohort study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2008;178(8):832–7.
- Robinson BW, Creaney J, Lake R, et al. Mesothelin-family proteins and diagnosis of mesothelioma. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9396):1612–6.
- Cristaudo A, Foddis R, Vivaldi A, et al. Clinical significance of serum mesothelin in patients with mesothelioma and lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2007;13(17):5076–81.
- Paganuzzi M, Onetto M, Marroni P, et al. Diagnostic value of CYFRA21–1 tumor marker and CEA in pleural effusion due to mesothelioma. *Chest.* 2001;119(4):1138–42.
- Porcel JM, Vives M, Esquerda A, Salud A, Perez B, Rodriguez-Panadero F. Use of a panel of tumor markers (carcinoembryonic antigen, cancer antigen 125, carbohydrate antigen 15–3, and cytokeratin 19 fragments) in pleural fluid for the differential diagnosis of benign and malignant effusions. *Chest.* 2004;126(6):1757–63.
- 11. Nagele H, Bahlo M, Klapdor R, Schaeperkoetter D, Rodiger W. CA125 and its relation to cardiac function. *Am Heart J*. 1999;137(6):1044–9.
- 12. Fraser CG. Inherent biological variation and reference values. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2004;42(7):758–64.
- Weber DG, Taeger D, Pesch B, Kraus T, Bruning T, Johnen G. Soluble mesothelin-related peptides (SMRP)—High stability of a potential tumor marker for mesothelioma. *Cancer Biomark*. 2007;3(6):287–92.
- Marczynski B, Rozynek P, Kraus T, Schlosser S, Raithel HJ, Baur X. Levels of 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine in DNA of white blood cells from workers highly exposed to asbestos in Germany. *Mutat Res.* 2000;468(2): 195–202.
- Creaney J, van Bruggen I, Hof M, et al. Combined CA125 and Mesothelin Levels for the Diagnosis of Malignant Mesothelioma. *Chest.* 2007;132(4):1239–46.
- Grigoriu BD, Scherpereel A, Devos P, et al. Utility of osteopontin and serum mesothelin in malignant pleural mesothelioma diagnosis and prognosis assessment. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2007;13(10):2928–35.
- Di Serio F, Fontana A, Loizzi M, et al. Mesothelin family proteins and diagnosis of mesothelioma: analytical evaluation of an automated immunoassay and preliminary clinical results. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2007;45(5):634–8.
- Fuhrman C, Duche JC, Chouaid C, et al. Use of tumor markers for differential diagnosis of mesothelioma and secondary pleural malignancies. *Clin Biochem*. 2000;33(5):405–10.
- Pass HI, Wali A, Tang N, et al. Soluble mesothelin-related peptide level elevation in mesothelioma serum and pleural effusions. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;85(1):265–72; discussion 272.
- Rodriguez Portal JA, Rodriguez Becerra E, Rodriguez Rodriguez D, et al. Serum levels of soluble mesothelin-related peptides in malignant and nonmalignant asbestos-related pleural disease: relation with past asbestos exposure. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2009;18(2): 646–50.
- Hillerdal G. Malignant mesothelioma 1982: review of 4710 published cases. Br J Dis Chest. 1983;77(4):321–43.
- Hollevoet K, Bernard D, De Geeter F, et al. Glomerular filtration rate is a confounder for the measurement of soluble mesothelin in serum. *Clin Chem.* 2009;55(7):1431–3.



- Tramonti G, Ferdeghini M, Donadio C, et al. Renal function and serum concentration of five tumor markers (TATI, SCC, CYFRA21-1, TPA, and TPS) in patients without evidence of neoplasia. *Cancer Detect Prev.* 2000;24(1):86–90.
- 24. Thorpe JD, Duan X, Forrest R, et al. Effects of blood collection conditions on ovarian cancer serum markers. *PLoS ONE*. 2007;2(12):e1281.
- Roe OD, Creaney J, Lundgren S, et al. Mesothelin-related predictive and prognostic factors in malignant mesothelioma: a nested case-control study. *Lung Cancer*. 2008;61(2):235–43.
- Gao YC, Yuan ZB, Yang YD, Lu HK. Effect of freeze-thaw cycles on serum measurements of AFP, CEA, CA125 and CA19-9. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest*. 2007;67(7):741–7.

Publish with Libertas Academica and every scientist working in your field can read your article

"I would like to say that this is the most author-friendly editing process I have experienced in over 150 publications. Thank you most sincerely."

"The communication between your staff and me has been terrific. Whenever progress is made with the manuscript, I receive notice. Quite honestly, I've never had such complete communication with a journal."

"LA is different, and hopefully represents a kind of scientific publication machinery that removes the hurdles from free flow of scientific thought."

Your paper will be:

- Available to your entire community free of charge
- Fairly and quickly peer reviewed
- Yours! You retain copyright

http://www.la-press.com