Clinical Medicine: Reproductive Health



ORIGINAL RESEARCH

OPEN ACCESS Full open access to this and thousands of other papers at http://www.la-press.com.

The Knowledge and Attitudes of University Students Concerning HPV Vaccine and Cervical Screening

Elit L², Trim K¹, Mohan R¹, Nastos S¹ and Harnish D¹

¹Bachelor of Health Sciences (Honours) Program, ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Health Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton Ontario, Canada. Email: laurie.elit@jcc.hhsc.ca

Abstract

Background: Cervical cancer is preventable with regular PAP tests and the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine.

Objective: Identify factors influencing initiation into regular sexual health examinations within a group of undergraduate health science students who have high parental SES.

Methods: After reviewing the literature, a survey of knowledge, attitudes and behaviors about HPV exposure and cervical cancer prevention through vaccination and cervical cancer screening was developed. The survey was circulated using a web-based survey tool to undergraduate Faculty of Health Sciences student.

Results: Two hundred and three students at McMaster University completed the survey. The sample included 72% women and 28% men. The mean age was 19.4 years. This sample represents a population of young adults who the previous literature would suggest are most likely to have regular health care since they are affluent (FAS greater than 6.7 ± 1.4). This group is also motivated in health education as 83.3% knew about the HPV vaccine and 76.4% could define the purpose of a PAP smear. Both male and female students were more likely to consult their family doctor about sexual health than their family. More than half of sexually active females have a family doctor, 82.1% of which visit them regularly. Sexually active women visit more regularly than sexually inactive women (p < 0.01). The majority (66%) are comfortable discussing sexual health with their family doctor, yet only 62.5% of women have had this discussion. 57% of sexually active women and less that 1% of non-sexually active women had had a PAP smear or a pelvic exam.

Conclusion: These affluent and well educated students do not appear to be able to apply their knowledge of HPV and PAP smears to their own sexual health. Thus they require access to tools that help motivate university students to personalize information and make important health decisions.

Keywords: HPV vaccine, cervical screening

Clinical Medicine: Reproductive Health 2009:3 1-8

This article is available from http://www.la-press.com.

© the authors, licensee Libertas Academica Ltd.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

Cervical cancer is the second leading cause of cancer in women worldwide.17 In Canada, about 1,300 women will be diagnosed with cervical cancer each year. Cervical cancer affects relatively young women compared with other cancers. It affects women in their reproductive years at a time when they have young families or are still desirous of childbearing. In Canada, about 1,300 women will be diagnosed with cervical cancer each year, and 940 Canadian women aged 20-44 died from cervical cancer.9 Half of the Canadian cases of cervical cancer occur in women who have not had regular Papanicolaou (PAP) smear screening. Currently in Canada, PAP smear screening is the gold standard method for detecting preinvasive cervical disease. Without screening, the cancer usually present in advanced stages when cure rates are low. Clinical guidelines for those under 20 years indicate that those who are sexually active should be seen within 3 years of first intercourse or at age 18 for a PAP smear; however, the actual rates of pelvic screening for young women are unknown.

Oncogenic HPV is the causative agent in cervical cancer. Almost all women will have an HPV infection at some point in their lives. Collins showed that 50% of women have evidence of an HPV infection within the first 3 years of sexual debut.¹¹ Unlike other STIs, all forms of sexual contact spread HPV and there is mixed evidence as to whether condoms provide protection.8 In fact, computer simulation studies at McGill University suggest that it averages 11 sexual acts to acquire HPV in comparison to 100 sexual acts to acquire Herpes Simplex virus.⁶ For HPV infections, 80%-90% are usually cleared by the immune system with two years of infection.²¹ Persistent HPV infections are of the same oncogenic HPV type seen over time and are more likely to lead to genital warts and dysplasia.²² Young women (peak time: 20–24 years old)⁷ and men (peak time: 25-29 years old)²⁵ are most at risk of infection and the younger a person starts sexual contact, the more likely they are to have a persistent HPV infection.

The recent release of the HPV vaccine shows promise in helping decrease the incidence of cervical cancer in Ontario women. One concern regarding the vaccine involves coverage.⁴ In Ontario, it appears that there was only 53% uptake of the first dose and there is no information regarding the second and third doses.⁴



Another concern is the longevity of the vaccine and the fact that the current vaccine only prevents against 70% of the cancer causing HPV types. Thus regular PAP smears continue to be very important.²¹

We undertook a cross-sectional study of highly motivated, university students interested in a health professional career concerning their knowledge of HPV prevention using vaccines and screening by PAP smears and how this influenced their health seeking attitudes and behaviors.

Methods

Sample

The sample from which the population was drawn includes all undergraduate male and female students in the Bachelor of Health Sciences (Honours) and Bachelor of Science (Nursing) Programs at McMaster University.

Survey and Data Collection

Using an online questionnaire, we measured the students' knowledge of human papillomavirus (HPV), willingness to receive the HPV vaccine, willingness to attend regular PAP smears as well as their attitudes and opinions towards the vaccine.

To gather our information, we developed male and female questionnaires that would be delivered online through Survey Monkey[™]. The questionnaire components were as follows:

- **HPV Knowledge Scores**—Ten molecular biology questions were developed by a PhD in Virology whose area of expertise is HPV; these questions were taught and tested in classes of first year health sciences students. A social worker and a gynecologic oncologist developed five questions about knowledge of healthy sexual behaviors after an extensive literature search.¹⁶
- Health Services Information Questions—Additional questions were developed by a social worker and gynecologic oncologist. Of these, there were questions about the vaccine and purpose of the PAP smear, about attitudes toward seeing the family doctor and discussing sexuality, about healthseeking behavior.
- Sexual Activity—Students were asked if they had engaged in various sexual behaviors (once, more than once, never, or don't want to answer).



Those students who had engaged in sexual behavior that may lead to HPV infection (petting/ messing around or more intimate behaviors) were deemed to be sexually active for the purposes of this study.

- The Family Affluence Scale (FAS)—The FAS is a four-item measure of family wealth from the WHO Health Behaviour in School-aged Children Study.¹² It asks questions about household items (e.g. do they share a bedroom, number of cars, vacations and computers) that a child or adolescent can easily observe. This measure has established world-wide norms and is deemed valid and reliable for determining parental socio-economic status.
- **Demographic questions**—Age, gender, smoking status.

The questionnaire was pilot tested with ten women and men for readability and feasibility. Revisions were made to improve appropriate language choice based

 Table 1. Demographic and health behavior.

| Gender | (203 responses) | | |
|--|-----------------|--|--|
| Male | 28.1% | | |
| Female | 71.9% | | |
| Family affluence score | (192 responses) | | |
| 5 or less | 16% | | |
| 6 or greater | 84% | | |
| Smoking | (196 responses) | | |
| Yes | 5.1% | | |
| No | 94.9% | | |
| Have heard of HPV before this study | (203 responses) | | |
| Yes | 84.6% | | |
| No | 10.3% | | |
| Not sure | 5.1% | | |
| Knew what a PAP smear was before this study | (203 responses) | | |
| Yes | 84.2% | | |
| No | 15.8% | | |
| Number of sexual partners | (197 responses) | | |
| 0 | 55% | | |
| 1 | 24% | | |
| Greater than 1 | 21% | | |

on their feedback. The final male (30 questions) and female (38 questions) version of the questionnaires and the consent form were made available online through Survey Monkey[™]. Questionnaires were collected using a variety of methods; in-class; at a booth to publicize HPV awareness; and, a link to the questionnaire was posted in electronic message board for the health sciences and nursing students. Ethics approval for this study was obtained through the research ethics board at McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada.

The data was exported and analyzed using SPSS 17. Analysis included frequency tables, t-tests, Pearson correlations and cross tabs.

Results

Completed questionnaires were submitted by 203 students, 146 women (72%) and 57 men (28%). The mean age was 19.4 years. Socioeconomic status was assessed using the Family Affluence Scale (FAS). The Canadian average FAS score is 5.7; our sample mean was 6.7 (\pm 1.4) (Table 2). Ninety-five percent of the students were non-smokers. Seventy-three percent of women (n = 106) and 65% (n = 37) of men were sexually active and at risk for exposure to HPV.

Knowledge

The average knowledge score did not differ significantly between the men and women. The average molecular biology knowledge score was 54.8% for men and 51.3% for women. The behavioral knowledge scores were much higher (66% for men and 70% for women). There was a very low correlation between the molecular biology knowledge scores and the behavioral knowledge scores (r = 0.2). This may mean that students' knowledge of the mechanism of HPV does not translate to understanding the behaviors that puts one at risk for cervical cancer.

Advice seeking for sexual health

Students were asked to rate "who they would most likely go to for advice about their sexual health" on a scale of 1 to 6 where one indicates they were very unlikely to go and six indicates that the students were highly likely to go to for advice. Figures 1 and 2 show that the university students highest rated preference for sexual health advice were the Internet (males = 5.1 females = 5.2), and the family doctor



| 0 11 | 5 71 | , | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| | Yes, easily | Yes, but with difficulty | Not been in a while | No regular physician |
| Men | | | | |
| Sexually active $(n = 37)$ | 54% (20) | 1% (2) | 38% (14) | 0% (1) |
| Not sexually active $(n = 20)$ | 35% (7) | 2% (4) | 35% (7) | 10% (2) |
| Women | | | | |
| Sexually active $(n = 106)$ | 43% (46) | 18% (19) | 29% (31) | 1% (10) |
| Not sexually active (n = 36) | 28% (10) | 28% (10) | 41% (15) | 0% (1) |
| | | | | |

Table 2. Getting an appointment with their regular family physician.

(males = 5.7 females = 5.0). Men who were sexually active were more likely to value in person contacts (such as their family physician, school health clinic, friends and the public health clinic) when compared to those men who were not sexually active (Fig. 2). Women who are sexually active also were more likely to seek advice from in person sources (i.e. friends, school health clinic and public health clinic), but the differences between those who were not sexually active are less pronounced (Fig. 1).

Access to a family physician and their comfort with their sexual health

Sexually active women (43%) and men (54%) were much more likely to indicate that they had a regular family physician they could easily get an appointment with compared to their non-sexually active counterparts (men = 35% women = 28%). More sexually active women than sexually active men had difficulty in getting an appointment with their family doctor. There were approximately equal rates of men and women who had not been to their family physician in a while and those reporting that they were without a family physician (Table 2).

Sexually active students report being comfortable discussing their sexual behaviour with their family physicians (men = 76% women = 80%) when compared to non-sexually active students (men = 35% women = 55%). Women were twice as likely to recall being asked about their sexual behavior by their family physicians than men whether they were sexually active or not. Both male and female students who were not currently sexually active were less comfortable compared to their sexually active peers discussing their sexuality with their family doctors (men $X^2 = 13.48$, df = 2, p = 0.001 and women $X^2 = 9.85$, df = 2, p = 0.007).

There were high rates of having heard about the HPV vaccine among women (86.2%) and men (80%). Of the women, 32.6% would be interested in talking to the family doctor about the vaccine and 5% had already received it. All of the women knew what a PAP smear was but only 73.7% of men did. Of the 75% of women who were sexually active, 32.4% had

Table 3. Comfort level and interaction with the family doctor concerning sexual activity.

| | Recall their physician asking about sexual behavior | Comfort with discussing sexual behavior with physician | BOTH Comfortable with discussion physician and recall the discussion with family physician |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Men | | | |
| Sexually active $(n = 37)$ | 35% (13) | 76% (28) | 32% (12) |
| Not sexually active $(n = 20)$ | 35% (7) | 30% (6) | 15% (3) |
| Women | | | |
| Sexually active $(n = 106)$ | 69% (73) | 80% (85) | 59% (63) |
| Not sexually active (n = 36) | 55% (20) | 53% (19) | 42% (15) |





Scale using 1 = very unlikely 6 = very likely

■ Have not had contact that may lead to HPV infection ■ Have had contact that may lead to HPV infection

Figure 1. Females "Who would you most likely go to for advice about your sexual health?"



Have had contact that may lead to HPV infection Have not had contact that may lead to HPV infection

Figure 2. Males "Who would you most likely go to for advice about your sexual health?"





Scale using 1 = very much disagree 6 = very much agree

Figure 3. Negative feelings towards having a pelvic exam (Females only): "Having a pelvic exam would be..."

had a pelvic exam and 26.8% were aware of having had a PAP smear. 32% of all women (57% of sexually active women and less than 1% of non-sexually active women) reported having a PAP smear or pelvic exam. Figure 3 shows that all of the women reported having negative reactions to a pelvic exam. When comparing women who have never had a pelvic exam, they describe considerably stronger negative feelings than those who report a previous pelvic exam. Of the options offered on the survey to describe potential negative feelings about pelvic exams, all but one of the negative emotions were endorsed to a higher degree in those women who did not report a previous pelvic exam and these difference were statistically significant (p < 0.00 for these comparisons; NS for "afraid"). Figure 4 shows the simple steps women felt would make the pelvic exam a better experience (like explaining what was happening). Women who have not previously reported having a pelvic exam are more likely to want a person they know present in the room with them compared to those who previously report having a pelvic exam.

Discussion

We have undertaken to address the knowledge about HPV in a well-educated, highly affluent young group of sexually active university students. We addressed their attitudes toward sexual health and behaviors in following through on having either/both a discussion of their sexuality with their physician, having the HPV vaccine, or a PAP smear. We identified high rates of having heard about the HPV vaccine (85%) and knowing what a PAP smear was (86%). There was also a high comfort level of talking with their physician about sexual health (74% in women and 60% in men). However, rates of following through with personal preventative health care were mediocre to poor (32% for PAP smear and 5% for HPV vaccination). Education in the virology of HPV is not a strong enough motivator for regular sexual health checkups.

The HPV vaccine is recommended to women 9 to 26 years of age. The vaccine is funded provincially for grade 8 girls in Ontario. The vaccine can be obtained in Ontario through family doctors or student health care clinics if you pay for it. Despite this, only 5% of university health sciences women who were interested enough to participate in this survey had actually had the vaccine. Mitchell showed in 330 students from 4 faculties at the University of Toronto that after reading an educational segment of the NACI guide-lines the intention rates rose significantly to speak with a physician about the vaccine (48%), and get the vaccine (28%).²⁰ These high intention rates have been





Scale using 1 = very much disagree 6 = very much agree

Figure 4. Improvement to pelvic exam experience (Females only) "Having a pelvic exam would be a better experience for me when..."

reported in the literature.^{18,19,23} These studies support Blumenthal's work in high school girls.³ He hypothesizes that knowledge and acceptance of risks are the keys to improving vaccination rates.³ In contrast, our work shows that despite in-depth knowledge about HPV in a sexually active population of university students, only one in three would be interested in having a discussion with their family doctor about primary prevention and very low rates (5%) actually had the vaccine. Prior work in 240 female nursing students also showed this disconnect. Despite high rates of high-risk sexual behaviors, they had a low perceived susceptibility and low perceived seriousness regarding HPV and cervical cancer.¹³ Clearly in our sample where knowledge and finances are not a barrier to obtaining the vaccine, there is a need to identify the reasons behind the lack of vaccine uptake.

The SOGC HPV clinical guidelines indicate that, "failure to undergo screening may be due to such reasons as lack of knowledge, lack of access and failure of the clinician to offer screening".⁸ In our population the knowledge was excellent and access to family physicians was quite good. We did show that there was a low rate of discussion of sexual behaviour with physicians that was more marked in male students. In our sample of women, only one third of sexually active women had undergone a PAP smear. We cannot comment on whether the PAP smear had been offered but was declined. This rate is much lower than the 72% reported in the 1996–1997 National Population Health Survey.² PAP smear rates in this population vary from 70% in some studies^{5,14} to rates more in keeping with our population of 18%²² to 40%.¹⁵ There is a need to identify barriers to obtaining PAP smears in this population of young women and determine acceptable opportunities to improve screening rates.

The strengths of this study are that it is the first cross-sectional study in a highly educated group of university students interested in pursuing a career in health care that shows a clear disconnect between knowledge and self care. It was completed within a short period of time. The limitations include we did not conduct a full model assessment addressing barriers to following through on provincially endorsed preventative modalities (i.e. HPV vaccine and PAP smears). Parameters that could be measured include health belief, issues related to cost, and invincibility.

Conclusion

This study clearly shows that knowledge about the causes of cervical cancer is not enough to motivate sexually active students interested in a profession in health care toward cervical cancer prevention practices. Although there is a high comfort level in discussing sexual health with the family doctor, in this group of



students there was a mediocre follow through with primary or secondary prevention strategies. Assessing barriers to prevention strategies is one direction for future research. Alternatively, work involving identification and implementation of successful motivating factors is clearly needed.

Disclosures

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

References

- Andrae B, Kemetli L, Sparen P, et al. Screening-preventable cervical cancer risks: evidence from a nationwide audit in Sweden. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2008;100:622–9.
- Bancej CM, Maxwell CJ, Snider J. Inconsistent self-reported mammography history: findings from the National Population Health Survey longitudinal cohort. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2004;4:32.
- Blumenthal J, Heyman K, Trocola R, et al. Teenager understanding of human Papillomavirus (HPV) and acceptance of HPV vaccination. *Gyn Onc.* 2009;112:S54.
- Brabin L, Roberts SA, Stretch R, Baxter D, Chambers G, Kitchener H, et al. Uptake of first two doses of human papillomavirus vaccine by adolescent schoolgirls in Manchester: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2008;336:1056–8.
- Burak LJ, Meyer M. Using the Health Belief Model to examine and predict college women's cervical cancer screening beliefs and behaviour. *Health Care Women Int.* 1997;18:251–62.
- Burchell A, Winer R, de Sanjose S, Franco E. Epidemiology and transmission dynamics of genital HPV infection (Chapter 6). *Vaccine*. 2006;24(Supp 13):S52–61.
- Burk RD, Ho GY, Beardsley L, Lempa M, Peters M, Bierman R. Sexual behavior and partner characteristics are the predominant risk factors for genital human papillomavirus infection in young women. *J Infect Dis.* 1996;174:679–89.
- Canadian Consensus Guidelines on Human Papillomavirus. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada. 2007;29:S3.
- 9. Cancer in Young Adults in Canada. Toronto: Cancer Care Ontario. 2006:52-4.
- Clifford GM, Smith JS, Plummer M, Munoz N, Franceschi S. Human papillomavirus types in invasive cervical cancer worldwide: a meta-analysis. *British Journal of Cancer*. 2003;88:63–73.
- 11. Collins S, Mazloomzadeh S, Winter H, et al. High incidence of cervical human papillomavirus infection in women during their first sexual relationship. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2002;109:96–8.
- Currie C, Molcho M, Boyce W, Holstein B, Torsheim T, Richter M. Researching health inequalities in adolescents: the development of health behaviour in school-aged children (hbsc) family affluence scale. *Social Science and Medicine*. 2008;66:1429–36.
- Denny-Smith T, Bairan A, Page MC. A survey of female nursing students' knowledge, health beliefs, perceptions of risk and risk behaviors regarding human Papillomavirus and cervical cancer. J Am Acad Nurse Pract. 2006;18:62–9.
- Donders GG, Gabrovska M, Bellen G, et al. Knowledge of cervix cancer, human papillomavirus (HPV) and HPV vaccination at the moment of introduction of the vaccine in women in Belgium. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2008;277:291–8.
- Durvasula RS, Regan PC, Ureno O, Howell L. Frequency of cervical and breast cancer screening rates in a multi-ethnic female college sample. *Psychol Rep.* 2006;99:418–20.
- 16. Henbest K, Trim K, Elit L. What teen's know about the consequences of exposure to HPV? (submitted to Current Women's Health Reviews).

- World Health Organization. Initiative for Vaccines Research Team of the Department of Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals. Available at: www. who.int/vaccines-documents/. Accessed April 2009.
- Kahn JA, Rosenthal SL, Jin Y, Huang B, Namakydoust A, Zimet GD. Rates of human papillomavirus vaccination, attitudes about vaccination, and human papillomavirus prevalence in young women. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;111(5):1103–10.
- McClelland A, Liamputtong P. Knowledge and acceptance of human papillomavirus vaccination: perspectives of young Australians living in Melbourne, Australia. Sex Health. 2006;3(2):95–101.
- Mitchell R, Barna P, Weirman A, et al. University of Toronto Students' Acceptance of the HPV Vaccine. Dept Ob Gyn Research Day 2008.
- 21. Parent N. The HPV vaccine, one year later. Network Magazine. 2008;10.
- Philips Z, Johnson S, Avis M, Whynes DK. Human papillomavirus and the value of screening: young women's knowledge of cervical cancer. *Health Education Res.* 2003;18(3):318–28.
- Sauvageau C, Duval B, Gilca V, Lavoie F, Ouakki M. Human papillomavirus vaccine and cervical cancer screening acceptability among adults in Quebec, Canada. *BMC public Health*. 2007;7:304.
- Sellors JW, Karwalajtys TL, Kaczorowski J, et al. Incidence, clearance and predictors of human papillomavirus infection in women. *CMAJ*. 2003;168:421–5.
- 25. Svare EI, Kjaer SK, Worm AM, Osterlind A, Meijer CJ, van den Brule AJ. Risk factors for genital HPV DNA in men resemble those found in women: a study of male attendees at a Danish STD clinic. *Sex Transm Infect.* 2002;78(3):215–8.
- Winer RL, Lee SK, Hughes JP, Adam DE, Kiviat NB, Koutsky LA. Genital human papillomavirus infection: incidence and risk factors in a cohort of female university students. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2003;157(3):218–26.
- 27. Winer RL, Hughes JP, Feng Q, et al. Condom use and the risk of genital human papillomavirus infection in young women. *NEJ*. 2006;354(25): 2645–54.

Publish with Libertas Academica and every scientist working in your field can read your article

"I would like to say that this is the most author-friendly editing process I have experienced in over 150 publications. Thank you most sincerely."

"The communication between your staff and me has been terrific. Whenever progress is made with the manuscript, I receive notice. Quite honestly, I've never had such complete communication with a journal."

"LA is different, and hopefully represents a kind of scientific publication machinery that removes the hurdles from free flow of scientific thought."

Your paper will be:

- Available to your entire community free of charge
 - Fairly and quickly peer reviewed
 - Yours! You retain copyright

http://www.la-press.com